ISSN: 1231-4005 e-ISSN: 2354-0133 DOI: 10.5604/01.3001.0010.2826

# QUARTER CAR MODEL TO EVALUATE BEHAVIOUR UNDER ROAD AND BODY EXCITATION

Adrian Ioan Niculescu

Institute of Solid Mechanics of the Rumanian Academy, Department of Dynamic Systems Constantin Mille Street 15, 010141 Bucharest, Romania tel.:+40 745187595, fax: +4021 3126736 e-mail: adrian ioan niculescu@yahoo.com

#### Antoni Jankowski

Institute of Aviation Krakowska Av. 110/114, 02-256 Warsaw, Poland tel.: +48 228460011, fax: +48 228464432 e-mail: antoni.jankowski@ilot.edu.pl

#### Miroslaw Kowalski

Air Force Institute of Technology Ks. Boleslawa Street 6, 01-494 Warsaw, Poland tel.: +48 261851303, fax: +48 261851313 e-mail: miroslaw.kowalski@itwl.pl

#### **Tudor Sireteanu**

Institute of Solid Mechanics of the Rumanian Academy, Department of Dynamic Systems Constantin Mille Street 15, 010141 Bucharest, Romania tel.:+40 740176559, fax: +4021 3126736 e-mail: siretimsar@yahoo.com

#### Abstract

The paper presents a quarter car model two degrees of freedom (wheel and body), with vertical guiding system, the model being equipped with suspension stroke limiters and with excitation by wheel and/ or by body. The model reproduces elastic and damping characteristics of wheel and of rebound and compression stopper bumpers, the spring elastic characteristic and the shock absorber damping characteristic on rebound and compression, function piston speed. The road profile is generated with simple or summation of harmonic functions, or by reproducing real roads. The forces acting on full vehicle body e.g. aerodynamic and inertial forces are reproduced in the proposed quarter model by vertical forces reduced to the analysed quarter part. Thus, the model can be used for evaluation the vertical and horizontal stability at acceleration, deceleration, pitch and roll, at aerial forces, the body ground clearance and the comfort. The model can evaluate the influence of the damping and elastic characteristics of suspension and wheel, of the static position, of the vehicle load state, of the road profile and of the external forces, to the vehicle behaviour.

Keywords: quarter car model, shock absorber, simulation, comfort, stability, clearance, road profile, external forces

#### 1. Introduction

The vehicle moving realises under excitation forces generated by the road profile and by the aerodynamic and inertial forces and torques acting directly against the vehicle body.

The aerodynamic forces and torques acting in vehicle pressure centre and inertial forces and torques acting in vehicle gravity centre give forces and moments, which are stabilized by suspension reaction forces, so the vehicle behaviour is influenced by both the road profile and vehicle trajectory and driving speed. This reason we propose a quarter car model able to reproduce both these excitation made by road against the wheel and by aerodynamic and inertial forces against the vehicle body.

Figure 1 shows a vehicle with independent suspensions, all the four suspensions having two degrees of freedom.



Fig. 1. Vehicle model considering road and body excitations

The vehicle excitations are realised:

- in the vehicle gravity centre  $G_C$  by the inertial twister of forces and moments  $\tau(\overrightarrow{F_G}, \overrightarrow{M_G})$  generated at acceleration, deceleration or other external actions and trajectory changing,
- in the pressure centre  $P_C$  by the aerodynamic twister of forces and moments  $\tau(F_P, M_P)$  generated by the relative movement air-vehicle,
- in the wheel-road contact points by the road profile unevenness, z<sup>R</sup><sub>FL</sub>, z<sup>R</sup><sub>FR</sub>, z<sup>R</sup><sub>RL</sub>, z<sup>R</sup><sub>RR</sub>
   The equations (1) and (2) define the twisters of force and moment and equations (3)-(6):

$$\tau\left(\overline{F_G}, \overline{M_G}\right) = \begin{cases} \overline{F_G} \\ \overline{M_G} \end{cases},\tag{1}$$

$$\tau(\overrightarrow{F_P, M_P}) = \begin{cases} \overrightarrow{F_P} \\ \overrightarrow{M_P} \end{cases}$$
(2)

The Tab. 1 show the significations of the symbols used in Fig. 1 and in equations (1)-(6):

$$\overrightarrow{F_G} = \begin{cases} F_{Gx} \cdot i \\ F_{Gy} \cdot j \\ F_{Cz} \cdot \vec{k} \end{cases}$$
(3)

$$\overrightarrow{M_G} = \begin{cases}
M_{Gx} \cdot \vec{i} \\
M_{Gy} \cdot \vec{j} \\
M_{Gz} \cdot \vec{k}
\end{cases}$$
(4)

| Symbol                           | Element   |
|----------------------------------|---|
| А                                | Wheelbase   |
| а                                | position of $G_c$ relative to the front axle            |
| b                                | position of $G_C$ relative to the front axle            |
| $E_F$                            | front track   |
| $E_R$                            | rear track  |
| <i>v</i> [m/s]                   | Longitudinal speed                                      |
| $\tau(\overrightarrow{F_G,M_G})$ | twister of force and moment acting in gravity centre    |
| $\overrightarrow{F_G}$           | force acting in gravity centre                          |
| $F_{Gx}$                         | X component of force acting in gravity centre           |
| $F_{Gy}$                         | Y component of force acting in gravity centre           |
| $F_{Gz}$                         | Z component of force acting in gravity centre           |
| $\overrightarrow{M_G}$           | Movement acting in gravity centre                       |
| M <sub>Gx</sub>                  | X component of movement acting in gravity centre        |
| M <sub>Gy</sub>                  | Y component of movement acting in gravity centre        |
| M <sub>Gz</sub>                  | Z component of movement acting in gravity<br>centre     |
| ī                                | unit vector of X axle                                   |
| Ĵ                                | unit vector of Y axle                                   |
| $\vec{k}$                        | unit vector of Z axle                                   |
| G <sub>C</sub>                   | gravity centre  |
| $h_G$                            | Gravity centre position                                 |
| α                                | Angle of twister of force and moment relative to X axle |
| β                                | Angle of twister of force and moment relative to Y axle |
| γ                                | Angle of twister of force and moment relative to Z axle |
| $\tau(\overrightarrow{F_P,M_P})$ | aerodynamic twister of force and moment                 |
| $\overrightarrow{F_P}$           | aerodynamic force acting in air pressure centre         |
| $F_{Px}$                         | X component of force acting in air pressure centre      |
| $F_{Py}$                         | Y component of force acting in air pressure centre      |
| $F_{Pz}$                         | Z component of force acting in air pressure             |
| $\overrightarrow{M_P}$           | aerodynamic movement acting in air                      |
| M <sub>Px</sub>                  | X component of movement acting in air                   |
| 1.4                              | Y component of movement acting in air                   |
| $M_{Py}$                         | pressure centre   |

| Symbol        | Element                                     |
|---------------|---|
| $M_{Pz}$      | Z component of movement acting in air       |
| R             | Displacement of the rear left sprung        |
| $Z_{RL}^{D}$  | mass  |
| $Z_{RL}^W$    | Displacement of the rear left wheel         |
| $Z_{RL}^R$    | Rear left longitudinal profile of the road  |
| $Z^B_{RR}$    | Displacement of the rear right sprung mass  |
| $Z_{RR}^W$    | Displacement of the rear right wheel        |
| $Z_{RR}^R$    | Rear right longitudinal profile of the road |
| $F_{FL}^{SS}$ | Front left suspension spring force          |
| $F_{FL}^{SD}$ | Front left suspension damping force         |
| $F_{FL}^{TS}$ | Front left tire elastic force               |
| $F_{FL}^{TD}$ | Front left tire damping force               |
| $F_{FR}^{SS}$ | Front right suspension spring force         |
| $F_{FR}^{SD}$ | Front right suspension damping force        |
| $F_{FR}^{TS}$ | Front right tire elastic force              |
| $F_{FR}^{TD}$ | Front right tire damping force              |
| $F_{RL}^{SS}$ | Rear left suspension spring force           |
| $F_{RL}^{SD}$ | Rear left suspension damping force          |
| $F_{RL}^{TS}$ | Rear left tire elastic force                |
| $F_{RL}^{TD}$ | Rear left tire damping force                |
| $F_{RR}^{SS}$ | Rear right suspension spring force          |
| $F_{RR}^{SD}$ | Rear right suspension damping force         |
| $F_{RR}^{TS}$ | Rear right tire elastic force               |
| $F_{RR}^{TD}$ | Rear right tire damping force               |
| $P_{C}$       | centre of pressure                          |
| $h_P$         | Pressure centre position relative to        |
| $z_{FL}^B$    | Displacement of the front left sprung mass  |
| $z_{FL}^W$    | Displacement of the front left wheel        |
| $Z_{FL}^R$    | Front left longitudinal profile of the road |
| $Z^B_{FR}$    | Displacement of the front right sprung mass |
| $Z_{FR}^W$    | Displacement of the front left wheel        |
| $Z_{FR}^R$    | Front left longitudinal profile of the road |

| Tab. | 1. | Signification | of the | elements | from | Fig. 1 | l and | equations | (1) | )-( | (6) | ) |
|------|----|---------------|--------|----------|------|--------|-------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|---|
|------|----|---------------|--------|----------|------|--------|-------|-----------|-----|-----|-----|---|

$$\vec{F_P} = \begin{cases} F_{P\chi} \cdot \vec{i} \\ F_{Py} \cdot \vec{j} \\ F_{Pz} \cdot \vec{k} \end{cases}$$
(5)

$$\overrightarrow{M_{P}} = \begin{cases} M_{P\chi} \cdot \vec{i} \\ M_{Py} \cdot \vec{j} \\ M_{Pz} \cdot \vec{k} \end{cases}$$
(6)

#### 2. Triaxial quarter car model

For studying longitudinal and transversal stability uses a triaxial quarter car model. Fig. 2 shows a triaxial quarter car model for rear left sidecar.



Fig. 2. Triaxial quarter car model

The elements from Fig. 2 are presented in Tab. 2.

Tab. 2. The elements from Fig. 2

| Symbol        | Element  | Symbol           | Element  |
|---------------|--|------------------|--|
| $m_{RL}^S$    | sprung mass of rear left car part              | F <sub>RLx</sub> | X component of forces acting against the sprung mass |
| $m_{RL}^U$    | unsprung mass of rear left car part            | $F_{RLy}$        | Y component of forces acting against the sprung mass |
| $F_{RL}^{SS}$ | suspension spring force of rear left car part  | F <sub>RLz</sub> | Z component of forces acting against the sprung mass |
| $F_{RL}^{SD}$ | suspension damping force of rear left car part | $z_{RL}^S$       | vertical displacement of sprung mass                 |
| $F_{RL}^{TS}$ | tire elastic force of rear left car part       | $Z_{RL}^U$       | vertical displacement of unsprung mass               |
| $F_{RL}^{TD}$ | tire damping force of rear left car part       | $Z_{RL}^R$       | vertical road profile                                |

Usually, for suspension studies, it uses biaxial quarter car model similar those presented in the next chapter.

### 3. Biaxial quarter car model

Figure 3 presents the biaxial quarter car model, the elements being defined in the Tab. 2.



Fig. 3. Biaxial quarter car model

### 4. Vertical force acting against the sprung mass of quarter car model

 $F_{RLz}$  the vertical force acting against the sprung mass of quarter car model is generated by the forces and moments  $F_{Gx}$ ,  $F_{Gz}$ ,  $F_{Px}$ ,  $F_{Pz}$ ,  $M_{Gx}$ ,  $M_{Gy}$ ,  $M_{Px}$ ,  $M_{Py}$ .

Considering the system symmetric to the plane XOZ and the rigidities of each suspension identically and the same front and rear track, the contributions of previous forces and moments to the vertical force acting against the sprung mass of quarter car model  $F_{RLZ}$  are according next equations:

 $F_{Gx}$  gives:

 $F_{Gz}$  gives:

 $F_{Px}$  gives:

 $F_{Pv}$  gives:

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{h_G}{2l} F_{Gx},\tag{7}$$

$$F_{Gy}$$
 gives:

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{h_G}{2 \cdot E_R} F_{Gy},\tag{8}$$

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{a_G}{2l} F_{Gz},\tag{9}$$

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{h_P}{2l} F_{Px},\tag{10}$$

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{h_P}{2E_R} F_{Py},\tag{11}$$

 $F_{Pz}$  gives:

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{a_P}{2l} F_{Pz},\tag{12}$$

 $M_{Gx}$  gives:

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{M_{Gx}}{2E_R},\tag{13}$$

$$M_{Gy}$$
 gives:

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{M_{Gy}}{2l},\tag{14}$$

 $M_{Px}$  gives:

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{M_{Px}}{2E_R},\tag{15}$$

 $M_{Py}$  gives:

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{M_{Py}}{2l}.$$
 (16)

The vertical force acting against the sprung mass of quarter car model  $F_{RLz}$  obtains by summation all previous forces, according the equation (17).

$$F_{RLz} = \frac{h_G}{2l}F_{Gx} + \frac{h_G}{2E_R}F_{Gy} + \frac{a_G}{2l}F_{Gz} + \frac{h_P}{2l}F_{Px} + \frac{h_P}{2E_R}F_{Py} + \frac{a_P}{2l}F_{Pz} + \frac{M_{Gx}}{2E_R} + \frac{M_{Gy}}{2l} + \frac{M_{Px}}{2E_R} + \frac{M_{Py}}{2l} .$$
(17)

### 5. Proposed quarter car model

The virtual model was realized with ADAMS View software and it is presented in Fig. 4.



Fig. 4. The quarter car model realized with Adams software, View module

### 6. Simulation to evaluate the influence of force acting directly against the sprung mass

Simulations on two identically quarter car model, the both being excited by the same road, one of them being excited supplementary against the sprung mass, reveal the influence of the body direct excitation.

This case the excitation against the vehicle body is oscillatory.

#### 6.1. Test conditions

Both models are excited by road with a harmonic function according equation (18).

$$z = 0.04 \cdot \sin(2\pi \cdot 3 \cdot time), \tag{18}$$

where:

oscillation amplitude 0.04 [m], frequency 3 [Hz].

The model 1 is supplementary excited against body by a force according (19).

$$F = 800 \cdot \sin(2\pi \cdot 0.2 \cdot time), \tag{19}$$

where:force amplitude800 [N],frequency0.2 [Hz].

### **6.2.** Numerical application

The vertical interaction has been simulated using ADAMS software View module. The characteristics of the considered model of suspension are presented in Tab. 3.

| Symbol          | Value  | Units | Parameter                                    |
|-----------------|--------|-------|--|
| $m_U^S$         | 240    | [kg]  | sprung mass at Minimal loaded (noted Unload) |
| $m_U$           | 35     | [kg]  | unsprung mass                                |
| $m_{T0}$        | 275    | [kg]  | total mass at minimal loaded                 |
| l               | 0.236  | [m]   | overall suspension stroke                    |
| $k_P$           | 14085  | [N/m] | pneumatic suspension rigidity                |
| k <sub>T</sub>  | 200000 | [N/m] | tire rigidity                                |
| k <sub>CB</sub> | 250000 | [N/m] | compression stopper buffer rigidity          |
| k <sub>RB</sub> | 500000 | [N/m] | rebound stopper buffer rigidity              |

| Tab. | 3. | Suspension | characteristic | S |
|------|----|------------|----------------|---|
|------|----|------------|----------------|---|

The used damping characteristic is presented in Tab. 4.

Tab. 4. Shock absorber damping characteristic

| Sp           | eed [m/s]   | 0.05 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.4 | 0.55 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 1.5  | 3    |
|--------------|-------------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|------|------|
| Force<br>[N] | Rebound     | 70   | 170 | 410 | 650 | 800 | 1030 | 1320 | 1600 | 2450 | 4600 |
|              | Compression | 170  | 210 | 320 | 440 | 530 | 650  | 830  | 1000 | 1500 | 2740 |

#### 6.3. Results

The suspension quality will be evaluated by the body stability, comfort and body ground clearance, by adherence and road protection and by body-axle protection.

Figure 5 shows the diagrams for model M1 excited by road and body and Fig. 6 for model M2 excited only by road.







Fig. 6. Diagrams for model M2 excited only by road

In the Tab. 5 are presented the significant parameters for evaluate the influence of the supplementary body excitation.

| lel       | ttion          | S                             | TABILIT | Y       | Comfort<br>Body                     | ANCE<br>]   | ROAD<br>PROTECTION/    |                            | VEHICLE<br>PROTECTION<br>Forces in Bumpers [N] |                 |  |
|-----------|----------------|-------------------------------|---------|---------|-------------------------------------|-------------|------------------------|----------------------------|--|-----------------|--|
| Μοσ       | Excita         | Body vertical position<br>[m] |         | osition | Acceleration<br>[m/s <sup>2</sup> ] | CLEAR<br>[m | ADHE<br>Tire (<br>Forc | RENCE<br>Contact<br>ce [N] | Rebo-<br>und                                   | Compres<br>sion |  |
|           |                | Max                           | Min     | Δ       | Max                                 | Min         | Max                    | Avg                        | Max  | Max             |  |
| M1        | Road +<br>Body | 1.249                         | 6.72    | 0.147   | 6.72                                | 0.148       | 7805                   | 2608                       | 0  | 296             |  |
| M2        | Road           | 1.195                         | 6.57    | 0.039   | 6.57                                | 0.206       | 6614                   | 2541                       | 0  | 0               |  |
| M2 better |                | + 4.3                         | + 31.4  | + 2.2   | + 2.2                               | +39.2       | +39.2                  | -2.6                       | 0  | +100            |  |

Tab. 5. Comparative results

## 7. Conclusions

The paper gives solution to evaluate on a quarter-car model the influence of the road and body combined excitation acting on a vehicle.

The example shows the supplementary excitation worsen the vehicle performances.

# References

[1] Niculescu, A. I., Alexandru, C., Jankowski, A., *A Solution for Crash and High Ground Unevenness Effect Reducing*, Journal of KONES, Vol. 15, No. 3, ISSN 1231-4005, pp. 389-396, 2008.

- [2] Niculescu, A. I., Jankowski, A., Kowalski, M., Sireteanu, T., Advantages Conferred by Shock Absorbers with Cylindrical Actuator Application to Landing Gear, Journal of KONES, Vol. 23, No. 1, ISSN 1231-4005, pp. 255-262, 2016.
- [3] Niculescu, A. I., Jankowski, A., Kowalski, M., Sireteanu, T., On the new concept and advantages of the integrated shock absorber – air spring – ISAS, Proceedings of the European Automotive Congress EAEC-ESFA, Springer International Publishing, ISBN: 978-3-319-27276-4, pp. 93-103, 2015.
- [4] Niculescu, A. I., Jankowski, A., Sireteanu, T., *On* ,, *VZN*" *damper behaviour at crash*, Journal of KONES Powertrain and Transport, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 369-374, 2009.
- [5] Sireteanu, T., Gundisch, O., Paraian S., *Vibratiile aleatoare ale automobilelor*, Editura Tehnica, Bucuresti 1981.