

MODELLING IN TECHNICAL DIAGNOSTICS

Bogdan Żółtowski

*University of Technology and Life Sciences
S. Kaliskiego Street 7, 85-796 Bydgoszcz, Poland
e-mail: bogzol@utp.edu.pl*

Abstract

The knowledge of the dynamic state and structure of the system allows describing its behaviour, and allows creating prognosis models of the system behaviour in the function of dynamic evolution time, based on the model of the technical state symptoms growth. Most often, however, there are no known equations describing behaviours of the system in the function of dynamic evolution time, which accounts for the need to apply new tools to examine the dynamic state. There is, therefore, the requirement to experimentally verify analytical technical models as the proper one is a model which is verified in practice. An experiment is, therefore, often only an inspiration for further researches leading to the optimization of the construction.

In this work presented are chosen problems of machines' technical state diagnosis with the use of identification and technical diagnostics methods. Relations between methods of dynamic state evaluation and methods of technical state evaluation were indicated. Example modal analysis results illustrate the complexity of projecting dynamic state researches into diagnostic researches of machine state evaluation.

Keywords: *technical diagnostics, identification, modelling, modal analysis*

1. Introduction

Destruction processes of technical systems force the need to supervise changes of their technical state. It is possible with the use of technical diagnostics methods.

Methods and means of modern technical diagnostics are a tool of machine state diagnosis, which is the basis of decisions made at each stage of their existence.

Many previous works of the author [1-5] clearly indicate connections between machine dynamics and technical diagnostics, especially vibration diagnostics. The bases of identification, modelling and concluding fully convince towards the dominating role of vibrations in machine state identification [6-9].

Properly planned and realized experiment is the base to obtain diagnostically sensitive signals which processed will determine state diagnosis procedures. The processing includes: creation of numerous signal measures in time domain, frequencies and amplitudes, selection and reduction of the number of signal measures, creation and analysis of effectiveness of cause-and-effect models, as well as evaluation of the righteousness of made diagnostic decisions.

The realization of these tasks is possible only through broad support from information technology, which in this work is presented in the form of SIBI programs.

Practical applications of the presented ideas have been verified in researches on complex objects exploited in difficult climate conditions.

2. Dynamics and diagnostics

Into quality measures of machine's technical state, i.e. its dynamics, included is the level of vibration amplitudes, as well of the machines as the lot, and also of relative vibrations of separate elements and parts. Overall vibrations of the machine can be perceived as an external symptom while they are responsible for the level of interferences emitted into the environment. Relative

vibrations of separate elements, however, influence mainly the state of internal forces in the machine, i.e. at its level of dynamic stress amplitudes.

Identification can concern both the construction of models and the reconstruction of the examined model state, which leads straight to the problem of technical diagnostics.

The process of diagnostic identification includes:

- modeling (symptom or structural),
- identification experiment (simulation and/or real),
- estimation of diagnostic parameters (state features or symptoms),
- diagnostic concluding.

The specificity of diagnostic identification tasks is different from general identification in the way that it includes a number of additional elements enhancing this process. They are:

- constructing models of diagnostic signals generation,
- choosing features of object structure state and diagnostic symptoms,
- modeling cause-and-effect relations,
- evaluating the accuracy of choosing variables for the model,
- determining boundary values of the symptoms,
- classifying the states and determining diagnosis periodicity.

Methods of identification can be divided concerning: the kind of identified model, the kind of experiment, identification criterion applied, as well as estimation procedure applied. In general these are: methods of analysis, time, frequency, correlation, regression, factor analysis, as well as iteration methods described in works of many authors [2, 4-6, 8].

For simple objects a good tool to evaluate their changeable dynamic state are methods of simple identification which use amplitude - frequency spectrum. Searching resonance frequency and amplitude value in this frequency with the use of tests (impulse, harmonic and random) are relatively well mastered in research techniques of our enterprises [2, 5].

Another way of describing and analyzing the dynamic state of machines is a modal analysis used as a theoretical, experimental and exploitation method. It uses frequencies of own vibrations, values of suppression and forms of vibrations to describe the changing machine state, and it is used to improve the finished elements method. The presented procedures are based on the knowledge of the system model, and the conclusions drawn from the actions on the models depend on their quality. Depending on the aim of the performed dynamic analysis of the object, different requirements are set for the constructed models, and their evaluation is conducted with different experimental methods.

3. Description of object state changes

The dynamic state of the object can be, in the easiest case, described with a model of 1 degree of freedom – Fig. 1. A conventional description of this model are known relations (1-4) indicating that vibrations well reflect the state of the machine. A description of this model can be achieved within m , k , c categories, or through a , v , x researches.




Fig. 1. System model of 1 degree of freedom

A solution for $q \neq 0$ exists when the main matrix determinant $(K - \omega^2 M) = 0$, i.e. $\det(K - \omega^2 M) = 0$. Solving the system of equations (7) own values can be determined, and from them the frequencies of own vibrations, indispensable for the object identification ($\lambda = \omega^2 = k/m$).

4. Identification researches software (SIBI)

More and more frequently conducted identification researches of machine dynamic state, used for the evaluation of the state changes, fault development and location of the occurred state causes, were the basis for creating a specialized software system. It allows acquiring and processing measurement data, creating many measures of diagnostic signals, examining their diagnostic sensitivity, statistic processing and diagnostic concluding. The program was named Information System of Identification Researches (System Informatyczny Badań Identyfikacyjnych – SIBI).

The structure of the program is a module construction which includes the following modules (Fig. 3).




Fig. 3. Main dialog box of SIBI program

- A **Read UNV** module which allows processing data from UNV format into XLS format.
 - B **Symptoms** module which allows defining, determining and creating matrix of many measures of vibration processes.
- Modules A and B are part of software responsible for acquiring and processing vibration processes in order to acquire observation matrix of vibration estimators.
- C **Optimum** module uses the method of ideal point for individual evaluation of the sensitivity of measured symptoms of vibration processes.
 - D **SVD** module used for determining generalized damage measures, and for the evaluation of damage development. Using the SVD method allows a multidimensional description of the state of the examined object.
 - E **Input/Output** module used for the analysis of similarities between vibration processes, and for determining different exploitation measures of the examined object.
 - F **Optimization** module used for creating models and data in genesis (with approximation and interpolation methods), diagnosis and prognosis of object states.
 - G **Network** module using neuron nets for state classification on the basis of obtained results in the form of time rows.
- C, D, E, F, G modules are elements of 2 parts of the software allowing the performance of statistic concluding and cause-and-effect relations, as well as visualization of the obtained results.

5. Cause-and-effect modelling

Many state measures acquired in experiments requires the reduction of over measurement, which is possible with the use of OPTIMUM procedure (statistic evaluation of separate measures) or SVD (for the multidimensional approach). Optimized set of symptoms is the basis of constructing cause-and-effect, most often regressive, multidimensional models (Fig.4).

$$y = -2.68061 w_1 - 0.54083 row_1 - 0.49318 x_1 + 2.01273 w_2 + 0.35480 row_2 + 2.26940 x_2 - 0.02717 H(f) + 0.06833 H(f)L + 0.01696 g_{2xy} - 92.00391 ARMS(t) + 12.99146 bkurt + 239.69713 Cs - 200.58670 I - 44.37385.$$




Fig. 4. Regressive model determination

The wellness of the model is evaluated with the help of the determination coefficient R^2 , and the number of component symptoms determines its accuracy – Fig. 5.




Fig. 5. Number of measures v. accuracy of the model

6. Conclusions

Presented in this work considerations concern the modelling of object dynamic state, with the use of description and researches within the range of identification, distinguishing modal analysis and ideas directly supporting different methods of forming machine dynamics.

The knowledge of the dynamic state and structure of the system allows describing its behaviour, and allows creating prognosis models of the system behaviour in the function of dynamic evolution time, based on the model of the technical state symptoms growth. Most often,

however, there are no known equations describing behaviours of the system in the function of dynamic evolution time, which accounts for the need to apply new tools to examine the dynamic state. There is, therefore, the requirement to experimentally verify analytical technical models as the proper one is a model which is verified in practice. An experiment is, therefore, often only an inspiration for further researches leading to the optimization of the construction.

References

- [1] Bendat, J. S., Piersol, A. G., *Methods of analysis and measurement of random signals* [in Polish], PWN, Warszawa 1996.
- [2] Cempel, C., *Vibroacoustical Condition Monitoring*, Ellis Hor. Ltd., Chichester, New York 1991.
- [3] Cholewa, W., Kiciński, J., *Technical diagnostics. Reverse diagnostic models* [in Polish], Wydawnictwo Politechniki Śląskiej, Gliwice 1997.
- [4] Giergiel, J., Uhl, T., *Identification of mechanical systems* [in Polish], PWN, Warszawa 1990.
- [5] Kaźmierczak, H., Kromulski, J., *Methods of parametric identification in application into construction diagnostics. Exploitation problems* [in Polish], MCNEMT 6/93, Radom 1993.
- Morrison, F., *Art of dynamic systems modeling* [in Polish], WNT, Warszawa 1996.
- [6] Tylicki, H., *Optimization of the process of vehicle mechanical state prognosis* [in Polish], Rehabilitation thesis, No 86, ATR Bydgoszcz 1999.
- [7] Uhl, T., *Computer-enhanced identification of mechanical construction models* [in Polish], WNT, Warszawa 1997.
- [8] Żółtowski, B., *Diagnostic identification of technical objects* [in Polish], Problems of Machines Exploitation, Z.1 (105), PAN, 1996.
- [9] Żółtowski, B., *Machine diagnostics basics* [in Polish], Wyd. ATR, Bydgoszcz 1996.
- Żółtowski, B., *Multidimensional monitoring of the track-vehicle interface of a railway system*, Besanson 2007.
- [10] Zoltowski, B., Castañeda, L., *Monitoreo Multidimensional de la Interfase Vía-Vehículo de un Sistema Ferroviario*, Congreso Internacional de Mantenimiento ACIEM, Bogotá, Colombia 2007.